



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,509	11/30/2005	Fumitsugu Fukuyo	46884-5388 (211285)	4531

23973 7590 07/31/2009

DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH
ATTN: INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP
ONE LOGAN SQUARE
18TH AND CHERRY STREETS
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-6996

EXAMINER

ULLAH, ELIAS

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2892

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

07/31/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/537,509	Applicant(s) FUKUYO ET AL.	
	Examiner ELIAS ULLAH	Art Unit 2892	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ELIAS ULLAH; Lex Malsawma. (3) Mr. Akira Utsuyama.

(2) John G. Smith (Reg. No. 33,818). (4) Mr. Yoshiki Kuroki.

Date of Interview: 23 July 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: Laptop video presentation of the current invention and prior art process.

Claim(s) discussed: claims 18,19, and 23 for '392, claims 3, 21 for '509, claims 56,72, 88,for '321.

Identification of prior art discussed: Prior on record were discussed.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' proposed amended claims were discussed for following applications: 10/537509, 10507392 and 10/507321. The proposed amendments will overcome the 112 rejections and prior art cited in the final rejection..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Lex Malsawma/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2892	/E. U./ Examiner, Art Unit 2892
---	------------------------------------